

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Putent and Trademark Office Address Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10 018,074	03/12/2002	Xaviei Guy Bernard d'Udekem d'Acoz	BGC002 3661		
"5	90 04 23 2003				
JACK V. MUSGROVE			EXAMINER		
2911 BRIONA CEDAR PARK			NGUYEN, TAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818 DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	Renal	

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,074	03/12/2002	Xavier Guy Bernard d'Udekem d'Acoz	BGC002	3661
7	590 04.01/2003			
	ley Vanden Gunter &	EXAMINER		
7600B North C	wood on the Park apital of Texas Highwa	NGUYEN, TAN		
Austin, TX 78731			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	1			
· Office Action Summary		10/018,074		D'ACOZ ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tan T.	Nguyen	2818				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Extermination after - If the - If NC - Failure - Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event y within the statuto will apply and will e y, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		— · nis action is n	on-final					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except 1	for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	٦.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 2-8 and 10 is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election rec	quirement.					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	pted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Exan	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Offic	ce action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
·	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		·					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•	-		application).			
) \prod The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>			(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 10/018,074

Art Unit: 2818

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- The Information Disclosure Statement submitted by Applicants on December 4,
 2001 has been received and fully considered.
- 3. Claims 5-8 and 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend from any other multiple dependent claim (claim 4). See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koike (U.S. Patent No. 5,274,788).

Koike disclosed in Figure 1 a data processor which includes a central processing unit [1] (column 5, line 2) coupled to an address bus [2] (column 5, line 3) for supplying an address to a plurality of memories DRAM [8-1,8-2] (column 5, line 17), and a data bus [3] (column 5, line 4) for transferring data between the CPU [1] and the memories [8-1,8-2]. Koike also disclosed in figure 1 a decoder [6] coupled to the address bus [2] for decoding a portion of an address on address bus [2] and generates chip select signals [/MEMOCS, /MEM1CS] to select either of the memories [8-1,8-2] (column 5, lines 30-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,074

Art Unit: 2818

Although Koike did not discuss the input/output device, the data processor disclosed by Koike inherently includes the input/output device, which could be the input/output pins.

Regarding claim 9, the data processor disclosed by Koike is capable of performing the method in claim 9.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike in view of Kim (U.S. Patent No. 5,712,811).

See description of Koike in paragraph 5, supra. Kim disclosed in figure 4 a control unit [60] coupled to a connector [50] for controlling the input/output of data signals [D0-D63] in accordance with address signals [A0-A29] via an address bus [AB] connected to the connector [50].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the data processor of Koike by providing the connector to the CPU of Koike.

The rationale is as follows: A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the connector to transfer address and data signal with external device.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/018,074

Art Unit: 2818

- 8. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kosugi et al., Delp et al., Sanemitsu, Rao and Tasaki are cited to show memory devices having controller coupled to decoder and a plurality of memory banks.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 07:00 AM to 03:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Nelms, can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Tan T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2818 March 26, 2003